

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,046 02/26/2004		02/26/2004	Shinichi Takeshima	118849	118849 5174	
25944	7590	05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
		OGE, PLC	WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1754		
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				49				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan		10/786,046	TAKESHIMA, SHINIC	н				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Paul A. Wartalowicz	1754					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ss				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ehruary 2004						
		action is non-final.						
3)	· <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	-						
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
• •	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Sta	ige				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed of the attached deta	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/04, 4/1/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)				
Patent and T	radamady Office			·				

Art Unit: 1754

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nawa et al. (U.S. 5863850).

Nawa et al. teach a process for making a zirconia based ceramic material (col. 1, lines 10-12) comprising the mixed oxide of cerium, titanium, and zirconium (col. 5, lines 63-66) wherein an aqueous solution of zirconium and cerium salts is mixed with an organic solution of an alkoxide of titanium to obtain a mixed solution (col. 6, lines 40-44) wherein the mixed solution is hydrolyzed to generate a precipitate (col. 6, lines 44-45) and then heated at a temperature of 800°C (col. 6, lines 34-36). This disclosure meets the limitation wherein a water-in-oil emulsion system or microemulsion system is used due to the explanation that mixing an organic phase with an aqueous phase will necessarily result in a water-in-oil type emulsion or microemulsion. As to the limitation wherein the size of the aqueous phase of the water-in-oil type microemulsion is in the range of 2-40 nm, Nawa et al. teach the process limitations of the claimed invention such that Nawa et al. inherently teach wherein the size of the aqueous phase of the water-in-oil type emulsion is in the range of 2-40 nm.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nawa et al. (U.S. 5863850) in view of Sherif (U.S. 5023071).

Nawa et al. teach a process for making a zirconia based ceramic material as described above in claim 1. Nawa et al. fail to teach wherein said organic phase having dissolved therein a zirconium alkoxide, wherein conducting said organic phase with said aqueous phase to produce a product of zirconium hydroxide by hydrolysis reaction of the zirconium alkoxide at their interface between said organic and aqueous phases while incorporating the zirconium element in the product.

Sherif, however, teaches a process for the formation of metal oxides from corresponding metal alkoxide by a hydrolysis reaction (col. 1, lines 9-11) wherein the process of initiating the hydrolysis of an alkoxide to form a metal oxide is applicable to

Art Unit: 1754

alkoxides of titanium or zirconium (col. 1, lines 60-65) for the purpose of forming metal oxide powders having better flow and density (col. 1, lines 55-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide wherein the process of initiating the hydrolysis of an alkoxide to form a metal oxide is applicable to alkoxides of titanium or zirconium (col. 1, lines 60-65) for the purpose of forming metal oxide powders having better flow and density (col. 1, lines 55-58) such that zirconium alkoxide is substituted for titanium alkokide as taught by Sherif.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nawa et al. (U.S. 5863850) in view of Sherif (U.S. 5023071) and Uenishi et al. (U.S. 20020061816).

Nawa et al. teach a process for making a zirconia based ceramic material as described above in claim 1. The combined teachings of Nawa et al. and Sherif meet the claimed limitation wherein a process for production of an exhaust gas purification catalyst carrier by a production process such that characteristics of the product are inherently taught because the limitations of the process of making are disclosed. If Nawa et al. fail to teach wherein a process for production of an exhaust gas purification catalyst carrier by a production process, Uenishi et al., however, teach a process for puifying exhaust (paragraph 0004, lines 1-5) wherein a mixed oxide comprising zirconium and cerium are used as catalysts (paragraph 0008, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide wherein a mixed oxide comprising

Application/Control Number: 10/786,046

Art Unit: 1754

zirconium and cerium are used as catalysts (paragraph 0008, lines 1-5) as taught by Uenishi et al.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Wartalowicz whose telephone number is (571) 272-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th and 8:30-5 on Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Wartalowicz April 26, 2006

Litters

COLLEEN P. COOKE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5